

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR**

Petition No. 2517 of 2025.

In the Matter of:

Petition under Sections 86 (1) (c), (e), (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 80 & 82 of the GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 seeking directions for extension of time period for commissioning of the Project capacity allocated due to occurrence of unforeseen and uncontrollable events.

Along with

IA No. 62 of 2025 in Petition No. 2517 of 2025.

In the Matter of:

Interlocutory Application under Section 94 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 58 of the GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 for urgent listing of the captioned Petition for hearing..

And

IA No. 63 of 2025 in Petition No. 2517 of 2025.

In the Matter of:

Interlocutory Application under Section 94 (2) read with Regulation 61 and 80 of the GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 seeking interim stay/ injunction and appropriate interim Orders along with supporting affidavit.

Petitioner/ Applicant : Bhathwari Technologies Limited.
Lot No. 87, 88 to 97 & 97/1 to 97/4
Sachin Apparel Park Industrial Area/ Estate,
Surat, Gujarat – 394 230.

Represented By : Ld. Adv. Purusharth Singh, Adv. Ayushi Saxena ,
Adv. Vibhushi Antrolia and Mr. Narendra Desai

V/s.

Respondent : Gujarat Energy Transmission Corp. Ltd.
Sardar Patel Vidyut Bhavan,
Race Course Circle,
Vadodara – 390007, Gujarat.

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Utkarsh Singh along with Mr. Shobhraj
Jaiswal.

CORAM:

Mehul M. Gandhi, Member
S.R. Pandey, Member

Date: 04/08/2025.

DAILY ORDER

1. The matter was kept for hearing on 29.07.2025.
2. At the outset, Ld. Adv. Utkarsh Singh appearing on behalf of the Respondent GETCO submitted that the present Petition is coming first time before the Commission and it is filed for extension of Scheduled Commercial Operation Date (SCOD). The Respondent has recently received the copy of the Petition from Petitioner. Hence the Respondent needs some time to file their Reply and allow an adjournment in the present matter.
3. Ld. Advocate appearing for the Petitioner did not object to the adjournment being sought by the Respondent. He requested to maintain *status quo* till the next date of hearing in the matter, so that the connectivity remain with the Petitioner. He submitted that the Bank Guarantee would be extended, however, the Respondent should not take any coercive steps. He further

submitted that the Petitioner has already installed 2.1 MW out of 4.9 MW wind capacity and fully installed 3.835 MW capacity of solar, totalling of 5.9 MW generation capacity of the 8.735 MW Hybrid -Power Project and initiated the activities for the remaining project capacity and associated evacuation infrastructure.

4. Heard the parties. We note that the Respondent has sought some time to file its Reply and sought an adjournment in the matter which is not objected by the Petitioner. As the Respondent has sought time to file the Reply, hence let it be filed within two weeks-time with a copy to the Petitioner. Thereafter the Petitioner is at liberty to file its Rejoinder, if any, within one weeks-time with a copy to the Respondent.
5. We note that the Petitioner / Applicant has filed the IA for urgent listing of the Petition, as the Petition is listed today hence we decide to dispose of IA No. 62 of 2025.
6. We direct the parties to complete the pleadings before the next date of hearing in the present matter.
7. The next date of hearing would be intimated separately.
8. We Order accordingly.

Sd/-
[S. R. Pandey]
Member

Sd/-
[Mehul M. Gandhi]
Member

Place: Gandhinagar.
Date: 04/08/2025.